

0040-4039(94)01948-7

SYNTHESIS OF 2-SUBSTITUTED HYDROXYALKYL AND AMINOALKYL ESTRADIOLS

Carl J. Lovely and Robert W. Brueggemeier* College of Pharmacy, The Ohio State University, 500 West 12th Avenue, Columbus, Ohio, 43210, USA

Abstract: An homologous series of 2-substituted hydroxyalkyl and aminoalkyl estradiols have been prepared by elaboration of the formylated estradiol derivative 10.

Keywords: Breast cancer, 2-substituted estradiol, 2,4-hydroxylase

Estrogens play a pivotal role in around 50-60% of breast cancer cases.^{1,2} Understanding the roles of estradiol and its metabolites (catechol estradiols) in breast tumor initiation and development may provide useful therapies for breast cancer treatment in the future. As part of a program directed towards these ends we required a number of 2-substituted catechol estradiol mimics 1-6 to study estrogen receptor affinities, gene expression of estrogen induced responses, inhibition of 2- and 4-hydroxylases and cell growth.



The aminoethyl derivative 4 has been prepared previously; however, the route was low yielding and was not suitable for the preparation of other derivatives.³ We required a route that was flexible enough to provide access to all the desired targets. A survey of the literature revealed that formyl estradiol 7 had been prepared earlier *via ortho* lithiation of a protected estradiol derivative 9.4 This compound appeared to be an ideal starting point for the synthetic work described in this letter.

The synthesis commenced by protecting estradiol with MOMCl by a modification of the general procedure of Stork and Takahashi to give 9.5 Deprotonation of 9 with s-BuLi, followed by the addition of freshly distilled DMF (from CaH₂) gave 10 exclusively in 86% yield. None of the regioisomeric 4-substituted

compound was isolated. Deprotection with dilute HCl and subsequent LiAlH₄ reduction gave the desired triol 1. NaBH₄ reduction of 10 gave $11,^6$ which upon treatment with phthalimide under Mitsunobu conditions gave 12.7 Phthalimide cleavage with hydrazine followed by simultaneous deprotection and salt formation with methanolic HCl gave 2.

Scheme 1



Attempted preparation of the ethyl and propyl derivatives by condensation of the organolithium species, generated from 9 and s-BuLi, with suitable two- and three-carbon electrophiles was unsuccessful. Other homologation strategies, for example, conversion of benzyl alcohol 11 into the benzylnitrile derivative, via mesylation and reaction with NaCN, were not satisfactory due to problems encountered during subsequent elaborations. The use of phosphorus stabilized anions proved more successful. Thus, Wittig methylenation of 10 with Ph₃P=CH₂ gave the terminal olefin 14. Hydroboration of 14 followed by an oxidative work up gave the ethyl alcohol 15. Deprotection of 15 with PPTS in refluxing t-BuOH then gave $3.^8$ Target amine 4 was

prepared via phthalimidoylation of ethyl alcohol 15. Thus 15 was treated with phthalimide using Mitsunobu conditions to give 16. Hydrazinolysis of 16 gave the amine 17a, which was protected with CBZCl to aid purification. The MOM protecting groups were removed next by acidic hydrolysis (PPTS, t-BuOH, Δ), followed by reductive cleavage of the carboxybenzyl protecting group by catalytic hydrogenation.

Scheme 3



The propyl derivatives were prepared by means of a Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons reaction with triethylphosphonoacetate and 10 to give the cinnamate ester 18 (>20:1 E/Z). Attempted reduction of 18 with H₂/Pd-C or NaBH₄ were unsuccessful, however reduction with diimide, generated in situ by oxidation of hydrazine with iodobenzene diacetate, gave the dihydrocinnamate 19.⁹ Further reduction of the ester with LiAlH₄ gave the propyl alcohol 20. Acid treatment (PPTS, t-BuOH, Δ) of 20 gave the desired triol 5 in 51% yield. Reaction of 20 with phthalimide under Mitsunobu conditions gave 21. Phthalimide cleavage with hydrazine and protection with CBZCl gave 22b. The deprotection protocol as used for the ethylamine 4 gave the desired propylamine 6.

Compounds 1-7 are currently being evaluated in vitro, the results emerging from these studies will be published elsewhere.

Acknowledgments: This work was supported in part by the NIH CA 58003.



References

- Cancer Facts and Figures, 1993, American Cancer Society Publication. 1.
- 2. McCarty, Jr., K.S.; McCarty, Sr., K.S. in Regulatory Mechanisms in Breast Cancer (eds. Lipmann, M. and Dickson, R.), Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, 1991, Ch 9.

6

- Stevens, J.M., PhD Dissertation, The Ohio State University, 1988. 3.
- 4. Pert, D.J.; Ridley, D.D., Aust. J. Chem., 1987, 40, 303; Pert, D.J.; Ridley, D.D., Aust. J. Chem., 1989,42, 405.
- 5.
- Stork, G.; Takahashi, T., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1977, 99, 1275.
 Stork, G.; Takahashi, T., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1977, 99, 1275.
 Attempted acidic hydrolysis of 11 with HCl or PPTS led predominantly to decomposition Hughes, D.L., Org. Reactions, 1992, 42, 656.
 Monti, H.; Leandri, G.; Klos-Ringuet, M.; Corriol, C., Synth. Commun., 1983, 12, 1021.
 Moriarty, R.M.; Vaid, R.K.; Duncan, M.P., Synth. Commun., 1987, 17, 703. б. 7.
- 8.
- 9.

(Received in USA 10 August 1994; revised 20 September 1994; accepted 26 September 1994)